

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/566,593	Applicant(s) NISHIMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner Phuong T. Bui	Art Unit 1638	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Phuong T. Bui.

(3) _____.

(2) Maneesh Gulati.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 January 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Method claims are rejoined in accordance with In re Ochiai. Applicant authorized Exmr Amdt to method claims as attached.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)